TRANSCRIPT OF THE

UNITED FACULTY OF FLORIDA

NEGOTIATION MEETING

April 30th, 2015

Eastern Florida State College,

Melbourne Campus

Melbourne, Florida

\_\_\_\_\_

The transcript of the United Faculty of Florida Negotiation Meeting taken before Jill Casey, Court Reporter, held on the 30th day of April, 2015, commencing at 2:00 p.m.

\_\_\_\_\_

RYAN REPORTING REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS

1670 S. FISKE BOULEVARD ROCKLEDGE, FLORIDA 32955

(321) 636-4450

1	DR. MIEDEMA: Did you we had another idea.
2	So, did you get a response on the compensation
3	counter that we offered?
4	DR. MIEDEMA: I already told you we couldn't do
5	that.
6	MS. SPENCER: You told us at the table you
7	could you'd take it to Dr. Richey or are you just?
8	DR. MIEDEMA: I said I could take it to Dr.
9	Richey but that's all we were authorized to do was
10	the level that we had and the issue we have is that
11	there's just so much uncertainty right now, we cannot
12	make a commitment. Even the commitment that we made
13	before is at risk because the House walked away, we
14	have no budget. We do not know when they're coming
15	back to the table.
16	MS. SPENCER: Yeah.
17	DR. MIEDEMA: And I just went to a
18	teleconference yesterday on the new funding model and
19	I told you before how they were tweaking the
20	criteria, various colleges were advocating for what
21	suited them best. Well, under the latest scenario
22	we're no longer number two, we're in the middle of
23	the pack which means we don't get the incentive
24	funding. So, we still have no idea where any of this

is going to fall out legislatively and that's where

1	the concern was. Dr. Richey had indicated initially
2	that even the 5% offer over three years would be a
3	short term proposal. Then if we didn't get approval
4	on that we'd have to take it back until we saw what
5	happened legislatively. He's willing to leave that
6	on the table for a short time for review but that's
7	as much as we can do on that at this point in time
8	because we just don't know. We honestly do not know

MS. SPENCER: Okay. So, the summer schedule, should we take care of that before we can too much further into this? Do you guys have a preference because I don't have any face-to-face classes and Debra doesn't either. So, we have some flexibility. Harold, what's your schedule like?

MR. ZACKS: I'm not returning back until classes start in August.

17 MS. SPENCER: Okay.

18 MR. ZACKS: I'm available.

DR. MARSHALL: We'll be here.

MS. SPENCER: That's okay. All right. So, do you all have a preference as far as time of day? You can think about -- you can talk to your team and get back to us, see how that works for you guys.

DR. MIEDEMA: You want to continue to schedule every two weeks?

- 1 DR. MARSHALL: Possibly.
- MS. SPENCER: Or we could meet every week, I
- mean, if that -- but see how that works with your
- 4 schedule and time and location was.
- DR. MARSHALL: Try and knock it out a little
- 6 earlier.
- 7 MS. SPENCER: Yeah, then we can all have a
- 8 little vacation.
- 9 DR. MIEDEMA: Be nice to get this done and out
- of the way so they can actually enjoy some contract
- 11 time.
- MR. ZACKS: You want to continue to use this
- 13 room?
- DR. MIEDEMA: It seems to work well if that's
- 15 all right.
- MR. ZACKS: Okay. That's fine. I have keys to
- 17 everything.
- DR. MARSHALL: Are there classes scheduled in
- 19 here in the summer?
- MS. SPENCER: We'll figure -- we can figure
- that out, right? We'll figure it out.
- DR. MIEDEMA: I figured out how find my way to
- my office so I'm good now too. Do you have any
- 24 preferences as far as time of day and day of the
- week?

- DR. MARSHALL: I prefer morning if possible but 1 2 it -- I mean, I would be here either way. MS. SPENCER: Morning works for me too. 3 DR. MIEDEMA: Any day of the week? 4 DR. MARSHALL: Yes, that's fine. 5 MS. HANFIELD: You can't type any head shake, 6 right? Any day except Tuesday because Tuesday's 7 would be bad for us. 8 MS. SPENCER: So, you can e-mail me and let us 9 10 know. DR. MIEDEMA: Sure, I'll have that conversation 11 with and see if we can get an answer quickly. 12 MS. SPENCER: Yeah. And tentatively nothing --13 maybe not next week because we have graduation and 14 the finals. Is it graduation next week? 15 DR. MARSHALL: Finals is next week, graduation 16 the week after. 17 18 MS. SPENCER: The week of graduation would be okay but if we could just --19 DR. MIEDEMA: Avoid next week. 20 MS. SPENCER: Yeah, avoid next week if that's 21 all right, a lot of students coming around and stuff. 22
- 24 And then in your list here, I have a question 25 that I wanted to clear up. I know you have the --

23

That's good.

you want to go through this, but in terms of the larger picture, the health care, we had proposed the rebate on the health care premium for employees who opt out of the health care coverages offered by the college and you said only if that's available to staff.

You also said in there that you have a consortium but the contract says that we'll have a committee to discuss changes to the health chair and that faculty would be a part of that committee.

Well, if faculty a part of that, can you just clarify what we're doing as far as health care and consortium?

DR. MIEDEMA: Darla.

MS. FURGUESON: We've been with Florida

Community College Risk Management Consortium with

twenty-two or twenty-four of the other colleges and

we're with a self-insured plan administered through

Florida Blue and we have a better bargaining -- we

can get cheaper coverage by banding together. We've

gone every gammit -- I've been here thirty years and

I've probably seen ever major carrier here. One of

the requirements for the consortium participation is

it 100%. Years ago prior to going into the

consortium when we had Signa, Aetna, whichever one,

1	if you if all employees decided to opt out of
2	carrying medical coverage they would pay \$50 Dollars
3	per paycheck. That's not an option anymore, that
4	went away when we went into the consortium, oh, gosh,
5	late nineties, I can't remember. So, in lieu of
6	those that do not need or want the health insurance,
7	we have what is Plan C which is a dental and vision
8	plan. So, that's what the options are now. So, that
9	can't even be a consideration.

MS. SPENCER: So, were there faculty on a committee that looked into that?

MS. FURGUESON: Oh, years ago, yeah.

MS. SPENCER: When was the last time we had faculty on the committee to do that?

MS. FURGUESON: When the last -- well, we've been under the consortium so long I can't remember, I'd have to go back and look. But, yeah, it was an insurance committee very strong. We have not had any major changes under the plan, it just rolls, I mean, each year to each year with just renewal rates. We haven't had any major carrier changes other than the long term disability which all of a sudden they jacked our rates up, we had to go out there really quickly and get the one America plan that we have now, but we didn't have to do a bid process for that

because it was under the limit. So, I want to say it 1 was like 1996, 1997, but I can verify, that we 2 changed and went into the consortium. 3 MS. SPENCER: And you said twenty-two of the 4 5 twenty-eight colleges are in that consortium for health care? 6 MS. FURGUESON: Yeah, um-hmm. It's big. 7 could be more now since the last time I checked. 8 9 DR. MARSHALL: Can I ask a question? 10 MS. SPENCER: Yeah, go ahead. DR. MARSHALL: So, is it -- is that a process 11 where it's reviewed periodically, the plan, to make 12 sure that it's still sound with other plans that are 13 out there? 14 MS. FURGUESON: The consortium, yes. 15 DR. MARSHALL: So, the cop consortium controls 16 the review of all of the packet essentially? 17 MS. FURGUESON: Yes, ma'am. 18 DR. MARSHALL: Okay. 19 MS. SPENCER: And that is -- and what is it, 20 it's just called the Risk Consortium or? 21 MS. FURGUESON: Florida Committee College Risk 22 Management Consortium. 23 MR. PARKER: I can tell you too from my

experience over at the sheriff's office because we

24

were constantly battling for these kinds of 1 questions, better insurance, less costs, because we 2 were I think Ten or Eleven Thousand a head, it was 3 killing our ability to give raises to our people, it 4 was just wiping us out and all kinds of provision. 5 Like if someone could step away could they get at 6 least some money because we're not necessarily having 7 to pay that premium, at least that's what we thought, 8 and what we found was that we were kind of envious of 9 10 what, quite frankly, Eastern Florida had because it was equivalent coverage at a much lesser price which 11 was great, but we found that almost everyone, the 12 county, the school board, and all these big -- Signa, 13 all the big policies, they all said the same thing, 14 in order to get a good rate, which they would 15 consider good, which might be Ninety-two Hundred a 16 head by the way, a lot more than the community thing, 17 18 you have to have a 100% coverage. You can't allow your people to ease out and then -- because instead 19 of spending \$9,000 can I at least give Harold \$4,000 20 because he doesn't need it. That's the way we were 21 thinking as a perk that he can go out there but these 22 23 insurance companies wouldn't allow you to do that 24 because they know they'll lose. So, they price it based on a 100% coverage. They don't allow you that 25

1 flexibility to be able to do that.

DR. MARSHALL: So, we pay for 100% coverage regardless of whether or not individuals choose to participate, is that right?

MS. FURGUESON: Well, yeah, each plan costs -like Plan C doesn't cost nearly as much as Plan -the PPO or the HMO and one of the things as an
individual college that has always, always hurt us is
our demographics. When you put out the bid for a
plan, they look at the age of our population of
employees and they go back on the claims history and
we've had some doozies in our history and we continue
to have those. So, you know, we just kind of get hit
with some really... The increases, the norm on
medical in the past five years has been upward to
12%. What we have been realizing is about 5%. And
you see what the rates are now so you can only
imagine if we tried to go out on our own.

MR. PARKER: The county as an example, I think you'll remember, I think this is their seventh year of no raises consecutive. You know, I forget how many employees they have, thousands of employees, and one of the major culprits of that is the fact that they're dealing with 14 to 16% increases in their health insurance. They just can't afford to give

- their people raises when they're paying that kind of an increase of their health insurance and it's really created a moral issue for them but there's only so much money to go around. That's why, again, I think what we have is really working well compared to what other people have.
- DR. MARSHALL: Right, my issue wasn't with what
  we have versus something else better being out there,
  I was trying to figure out if we did have an
  individual who chose to opt out of having health
  insurance through EFSC, is that money, is that money
  still getting paid to the consortium from here?
  You're saying 100% coverage, right?
- MS. FURGUESON: Participation.
- MR. ZACKS: Everybody has to be in.
- MS. FURGUESON: Every employee has to be in one of these three plans.
- DR. MARSHALL: Okay.
- MS. FURGUESON: So, the only amount -- like if you're in the PPO, we pay the premium for you for the PPO.
- DR. MARSHALL: Yes.
- MS. FURGUESON: If you check -- if you wanted
  to go into Plan C which is only dental and vision, we
  pay that particular premium which is a \$111 Bucks to

- the consortium, that's monthly too. So, that's what
  they mean.
- DR. MARSHALL: So, the money that you -- so,

  the money that I'm not using essentially for health

  care for, yes, for health care policy, you're not

  having to pay that.
- 7 MS. FURGUESON: No, no, not at all.
- 9 DR. MARSHALL: Okay. All right. Okay. So, it
  9 is money essentially then the college is saving not
  10 to have to?
- MS. FURGUESON: Well, I don't know if we're 11 saving anything, it's -- you know, we offer that 12 benefit. If we didn't offer the Plan C, you wouldn't 13 get anything. You know, it's what the consortium 14 came up. I mean, it's a possibility. A lot of 15 people don't -- they may have medical with another 16 carrier like a spouse or something, we only have 17 18 dental and vision. Some companies aren't -- don't offer the benefits like this. 19
- DR. MARSHALL: Okay.
- 21 MS. FURGUESON: So, you know, there's no easy.
- DR. MARSHALL: Sure.
- MS. FURGUESON: You know, with this. We've been through some real tough, tough years with our insurances and our benefits.

- DR. MARSHALL: Thank you. 1 MS. SPENCER: Okay. You want to go through --2 I'm okay going through some of these. I'm expecting 3 Debbie Anderson was going to come. There she is. 4 5 Okay. And I thought we might take a look at that, those issues if you don't mind. Because the other 6 stuff we have we're still working through the minor 7 changes to Article 6 and 8. 8 there's still some 8 9 things we have to discuss in there, are there not? 10 DR. MIEDEMA: Okay. So, what article do you want to go to? 11 MS. SPENCER: Have you looked at the -- All 12 right. Let's go to 11, Article 11. 13 DR. MIEDEMA: 11. Okay. 14 MS. SPENCER: We looked at 10 already last 15 week. 16 DR. MARSHALL: Can we just go through the 17 18 acronyms and then I'll be done. MS. SPENCER: That's fine. Okay. 19 DR. MARSHALL: Is there anywhere in the 20 contract where we mention the BAS degree? 21
- DR. MIEDEMA: There is place in the contract
  where we're going to need to have it because we talk
  about the AS and the AA but we don't mention the
  BA -- BS or BAS. So, that's why we thought may be we

- need to get out of here, and that's a real simple
- definition.
- 3 DR. MARSHALL: Sure.
- 4 MS. SPENCER: Okay.
- DR. MARSHALL: And department chair, I don't
- think we have an issue with that, correct?
- 7 MS. SPENCER: No.
- 8 DR. MARSHALL: And CC is also something that
- 9 would be abbreviated from the contract? Is it in
- 10 there somewhere? I must have missed it. I was
- trying to keep the list of stuff that's only in
- 12 there.
- MS. SPENCER: It's in the appendix.
- DR. MARSHALL: Oh, okay, that's why. So, we
- 15 would be okay with these?
- DR. MIEDEMA: Um-hmm.
- DR. MARSHALL: Okay. Okay. Where do you want
- 18 to go?
- MS. SPENCER: Let's do what you want, Dr.
- 20 Miedema. We'll go through Article 6 and look at
- those changes. Those were minor.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Are you ready to talk about
- 23 Article 6?
- 24 MS. SPENCER: Yeah, we are, we looked at them.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Okay. I don't want to...

- 1 MS. SPENCER: So, you wanted to change textbook
- 2 selection to three years except where...
- 3 DR. MARSHALL: Do I need to pull up?
- 4 MS. SPENCER: Yeah, the one that I had that's
- 5 430. The first one at the top there.
- 6 DR. MARSHALL: That's just acronyms.
- 7 DR. MIEDEMA: 423 was the last one.
- 8 MS. SPENCER: Oh, you don't have my drive,
- 9 that's why. Here.
- 10 DR. MARSHALL: Technical difficulty, one
- 11 second. Where's it at, Lynn?
- MS. SPENCER: Debra's folders.
- DR. MARSHALL: Is that it? Or is that it?
- MS. SPENCER: That's it right there.
- DR. MARSHALL: And 6?
- MS. SPENCER: Um-hmm.
- DR. MARSHALL: Oh, I like how that works.
- MS. SPENCER: How did you do this?
- DR. MARSHALL: Okay. And where am I going?
- 20 MS. SPENCER: Down to the textbook selection.
- 21 DR. MIEDEMA: I think that was the first
- 22 question.
- DR. MARSHALL: Okay.
- 24 MS. SPENCER: Yeah, and you want to change it
- to three years, I don't see any problem with that,

- where the textbook is not -- and we've been doing 1 that anyway. 2
- DR. MIEDEMA: Exactly. And I think the 3 majority of the faculty have been very cost conscious 4 when it comes to textbooks. So, we just should have 5 practice matching. 6
- MS. SPENCER: Yes. 7

- DR. MARSHALL: Is there anywhere else in here 8 that it mentions time or is that the only place? 9
- 10 DR. MIEDEMA: Number 3.
- MS. SPENCER: Oh, it does. I missed that one. 11
- DR. MIEDEMA: Now, I did have one question as 12 we're going through and this doesn't have to be 13 addressed specifically. We reference throughout the 14 document "faculty" in some places and "faculty 15 member" in other places, do we want to have a common 16 scripture?
- DR. MARSHALL: I tried to catch them all 18 already. I'm sure there are still some. So, I am 19 going through and trying to -- some places it is 20 plural but I'm trying to do all the singulars as 21 "faculty member". So, if you find some that have not 22 23 been done, feel free to note them for me.
- 24 DR. MIEDEMA: I just wanted to make sure and also his slash her to be his. 25

1	DR. MARSHALL: I believe we have that in the
2	definitions, right?
3	DR. MIEDEMA: Yes, that's in the definitions in
4	the document.
5	MS. HANFIELD: There's a couple of their in
6	there instead of his.
7	DR. MIEDEMA: Yeah, those are minor little
8	things but for consistency change.
9	DR. MARSHALL: Yeah, sure, any of those you
10	find.
11	DR. MIEDEMA: As long as you're trying to clean
12	this all up, I would just make sure that we have the
13	common definition.
14	MS. HANFIELD: You want to change that
15	administrative supervisor into supervising
16	administrator to be consistent with?
17	DR. MARSHALL: Where's that?
18	DR. MIEDEMA: First paragraph, 473 where it
19	says administrative supervisor, we've called it
20	supervising administrator. I don't think it makes a
21	big difference but that will be consistent throughout
22	the document. There's a bunch of those.
23	DR. MARSHALL: Great.
24	DR. MIEDEMA: Probably just a replace.
25	MS. HANFIELD: You have three additional

- 1 members that you could add on just that page.
- 2 Faculty member, there's three on that page.
- 3 DR. MARSHALL: Faculty member.
- 4 MS. HANFIELD: Line 475.
- DR. MARSHALL: Oh, full time faculty.
- 6 MS. HANFIELD: Member.
- 7 DR. MARSHALL: Member. Okay.
- 8 MS. HANFIELD: And line 479, full time faculty
- 9 members. And then 488.
- DR. MARSHALL: And what one?
- MS. HANFIELD: 488, full time faculty member.
- DR. MARSHALL: Member. Okay. Thank you.
- DR. MIEDEMA: That's more form than anything.
- There is another, Number 5 at the top, line
- 15 497, should be 3. Then we're all consistent.
- Wonderful.
- 17 DR. MARSHALL: Anything else in Article 6?
- MS. SPENCER: Yeah, the council, that handbook
- thing that we went back and forth about.
- DR. MARSHALL: Which number?
- 21 MS. SPENCER: 616.
- 22 DR. MARSHALL: Oh, this is the tuition
- reimbursement used for student loans. Did we need to
- 24 revisit that?
- MS. SPENCER: You wanted to make it so that

they have to have taken the loan within the last 1 2 twelve months, is that what you -- or your counter was? 3 DR. MIEDEMA: Yes, ma'am. 4 MS. SPENCER: Yeah, we can put that in there. 5 I don't have a problem putting it in there, it's 6 really not a... I mean, why would a faculty member 7 take out a loan if they were getting tuition 8 9 reimbursement from the college. It was an effort to 10 provide some relief to faculty members who have been employed by the college and took out loans to do that 11 to be in their position and you made clear you don't 12 want to do that. You were worried about going how 13 far back. 14 DR. MIEDEMA: Yes, I was. 15 MS. SPENCER: I understand. 16 17 DR. MARSHALL: One thing that we might want to 18 consider too, how would you -- what if a person refinances a loan and it becomes a new loan? 19 DR. MIEDEMA: What is your purpose in this 20 request? 21 This is a way to provide some --22 MS. SPENCER: 23 DR. MIEDEMA: Can you give me some specific

example of what types of situations you will be

thinking about applying this to?

24

1	MS. SPENCER: You know that the cost of
2	education now is more and more by the students than
3	it is by the taxpayers or by the college. I mean,
4	there's a greater burden placed on the student. So,
5	in a larger sense, it was an effort to make some
6	benefit to the faculty so that they would be given
7	some pay from the college. There are other
8	institutions that do it, mostly outside of the State
9	of Florida, they allow tuition reimbursement but also
10	loan repayment as a part of the compensation package
11	for faculty. So, the goal was
12	DR. MIEDEMA: Loan repayment for their
13	education.
14	MS. SPENCER: Right.
15	DR. MIEDEMA: I still owe \$77,000 Dollars and
16	nobody helps me with my loan repayment.
17	MS. SPENCER: Somebody should help you. That
18	was the effort was another way to approach
19	compensation that allow the faculty to do.
20	DR. MARSHALL: Could it be could there be a
21	cap placed on the amount of benefit there? As
22	opposed to a timeframe could it be a cap, or could
23	there be a calculation made where you say here's what
24	I paid in tuition, here's what I got reimbursed
25	through tuition reimbursement, here's the loan I

1 still carry?

DR. MIEDEMA: So, you were looking at this in addition to getting their tuition reimbursement for the year that they would have additional money coming to them?

MS. SPENCER: If you -- you have -- in the past when we've talked about tuition reimbursement and we've approached it trying to say let's put aside a certain dollar amount for each faculty member and then that money could be used not only for tuition but books, expenses incurred in travelling to campus if they were going somewhere else to take the courses. In the past you've been reluctant to do this. So, this was another way to increase the options for faculty to access -- to have some return for the investment they made. We have faculty members here who, like you, have gone along and got your doctorate and they're still paying that bill. Robert Lamb's on our team, he's one, Dr. Lamb. There's a number of other ones, Debra Marshall.

MR. ZACKS: I won't even get involved because of the money that's involved.

MS. SPENCER: Yeah. And you do -- well...

DR. MARSHALL: And it could be stated that if you've used tuition reimbursement in a year you may

- not also use those funds for repayment of a loan. 1 DR. MIEDEMA: I'll talk about it with Darla and 2 the HR because I'm not sure what our current costs 3 When we do a caucus we'll talk about that. 4 MS. SPENCER: You do limit faculty -- there is 5 a ceiling, I've talked to other faculty, they take 6 courses and they get maybe \$3,000, \$3,500 that's been 7 operating. So you could, like Debra said, offer that 8 option to faculty who are already at their terminal 9 10 degree. DR. MIEDEMA: How far back do you do it? 11 they got their degree ten years ago and they're still 12 paying off their loan. 13 MS. SPENCER: That's a fair question. 14 you don't want to incur -- you need to look at the 15 finances costs certainly, but if you cap at it 16 \$3,500. 17 18 DR. MIEDEMA: We do also then have an expectation for a period of time that they must work 19 after that point or have to repay the money. 20 Sure, I think that's reasonable. 21 MS. SPENCER: 22 DR. MIEDEMA: Because that's what happens with
- MS. SPENCER: I think that's reasonable.

that you're going to be working.

the tuition reimbursement is there's an expectation

23

DR. MIEDEMA: We'll look at that at the breaktime.

There's another question that's kind of a general question throughout this that we didn't notice until we were reviewing this. There's a lot of places that we refer to the president does this and the president does that but we don't specify if it's a UFF president or the college president and that's probably a good clarification to make.

MS. SPENCER: It is a good clarification.

DR. MARSHALL: I'll start doing that.

DR. MIEDEMA: Not to give you more work but I noticed that throughout the document and I read it because I've been involved in these things for some many years, I know which president it is but if someone is else is taking my spot or taking Lynn's spot would they necessarily recognize which president we're referring to all the time. Some cases it's pretty evident and others you might go hmm, who approves that committee member, the UFF president or college president. That's just a general.

All right. Selection of new faculty. I have a suggests here and it's just because it recently came up. As we look at selection of new faculty.

MS. SPENCER: That's above there.

The one above this. And I don't DR. MIEDEMA: really have an exact number because it's more of a philosophy. We say here for selection of new faculty in line 625 that they have to be at least four tenured faculty members. Then a little bit further down in the document if says if you have an in-house transfer, you need three which adds to the confusion sometimes and I would just as soon say the same number in both places because otherwise it adds to the confusion. I think. And are you going to have the same committee than you do an in-house transfer, then you have to post that position and you already have the team but now you have to add another person to the team for the people that are coming from the outside. It would probably be easier just in the very beginning to have the same team.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SPENCER: Makes sense.

MR. ZACKS: You may want to think about an even number versus an odd because then you could get a split two, if you go with three the decision will always come out.

DR. MIEDEMA: Exactly. And I'm okay with whatever number we want to come up with, I just think it should be consistent between both types of persons coming in. And I think you have a good point is you

- 1 need a tiebreaker sometimes.
- 2 MS. SPENCER: Is that the one where the -- is
- 3 the department chair part of that screening
- 4 committee, non-voting member, non-chair, that's not
- 5 it.
- 6 DR. MIEDEMA: No, not necessarily. Typically
- 7 we do ask the department chair to be part of it just
- 8 because they can strongarm some faculty members to be
- 9 on the committee. But that was just a thought so. I
- 10 mean, it's nothing that's critical. I just think it
- 11 would make it easier for everybody to have a clear
- 12 understanding.
- DR. MARSHALL: I'll just highlight it for now
- and then we can come back to it later.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Absolutely.
- 16 MS. SPENCER: Why don't we just say all full
- 17 time faculty advertised in the college publication
- 18 college wide distribution including copy to the
- 19 president of UFF Brevard?
- DR. MIEDEMA: Oh, that was my question is do we
- 21 need to send a separate e-mail to the president --
- MS. SPENCER: No.
- DR. MIEDEMA: -- of the UFF since all faculty
- 24 now get an electronic notification of any openings?
- 25 So, the president is automatically notified. Do we

- need to send a -- I'm sensitive to clogging up

  peoples e-mails with lots and lots of e-mails about

  the same thing.
- DR. MARSHALL: So that one we can delete, Lynn?

  DR. MIEDEMA: Because we're going to then turn

  around and send the president another e-mail to say

  here's the team. So, she's getting three e-mails

  about the same open position.
- 9 MR. PARKER: Layman question. In this college 10 is there always going to be a full time faculty 11 member without fail? Is there ever an opportunity 12 for the union president to be someone else?
- MS. SPENCER: No, you have to be part of the bargaining unit.
- MR. PARKER: So then it's redundant, but if
  there was an opportunity where you were an outsider,
  then you would leave it in.
- DR. MIEDEMA: That's true.
- MS. SPENCER: Yeah, we can take that out.
- 20 MR. PARKER: It's always going to be full time 21 faculty.
- DR. MIEDEMA: You made it nice and pretty and now we're changing that.
- DR. MARSHALL: There.
- DR. MIEDEMA: If the computer doesn't agree,

don't change it. 1 MS. SPENCER: Just say including --2 DR. MARSHALL: Did I take too much out or? No, 3 I didn't take it out. There, is that right? 4 MR. PARKER: Lynn, you're still not happy to 5 say to include the president? 6 MS. SPENCER: Yeah. I mean, I don't know, it's 7 too much time looking at that stuff and thinking like 8 9 my god. 10 MR. PARKER: All full time faculty members to include the president. You do what you want. 11 DR. MARSHALL: It does say all full time so. 12 MS. SPENCER: Yeah, that's fine. 13 DR. MARSHALL: Okay. 14 DR. MIEDEMA: That's why I just wanted to make 15 sure because the president every time we have an 16 17 opening and we have several of them is getting a minimum of three e-mails. Not that Laura's 18 complained but I would if I were her. 19 The next thing that I would put in there under 20 688 was if there were not enough sufficient number of 21 faculty in one area and we're going to add another 22 23 member, we just thought that you might want to

specify where that member's coming from. So, the

idea was if there's not enough in Melbourne for

24

someone who wants to transfer to Melbourne in that 1 position, then have one person who worked with them 2 at Cocoa be on the committee. 3 MS. SPENCER: Right, but it doesn't -- I mean, 4 it's anybody from the campus, it doesn't specify. 5 DR. MIEDEMA: No, I meant in the same field. 6 MS. SPENCER: The discipline. 7 DR. MIEDEMA: I meant in the same field. 8 9 MS. SPENCER: So, you want to add that the 10 screening committee should have faculty members in the same field? 11 DR. MIEDEMA: Oh, you mean the general 12 statement that's already there. 13 MS. SPENCER: Yeah. 14 DR. MIEDEMA: You are correct, it does not 15 specify that they have be in the same cluster or 16 discipline. 17 MS. SPENCER: Do you want --18 DR. MIEDEMA: And we probably want to do that. 19 MS. SPENCER: If we do that -- I mean, how 20 many -- we get a few transfer requests, don't we? 21 DR. MIEDEMA: Um-hmm, yes, we do. 22 23 MS. SPENCER: Are they generally -- when you

form those committees they're generally in the same

discipline, but from the same campus that seems to be

24

important, they're going to work with the person but. 1 DR. MARSHALL: So, it would go here where I 2 have the cursor for a line to add it, by a screening 3 committee of faculty members from the same? 4 DR. MIEDEMA: From a related --5 MS. SPENCER: Same related discipline. 6 DR. MIEDEMA: From the same or related. 7 MS. SPENCER: You have that language somewhere 8 9 else. 10 DR. MARSHALL: From the same or related discipline? Same or related, how do you want it? 11 MS. SPENCER: Yeah, from the same or related 12 discipline. That's a little soft though. 13 DR. MARSHALL: Same or. Good? 14 DR. MIEDEMA: Yes. And this is where it says 15 three where the other one says four. 16 17 DR. MARSHALL: Does that cover your comment 18 well enough? Right? Because it allows you to go broader. 19 MS. SPENCER: Let's think -- Harold's asking 20 about one person programs and that's where I'm 21 thinking two but that related disciplines opens up 22 23 responsibilities. So, with criminal justice, what's 24 related?

DR. MARSHALL: Sociology.

1 MS. SPENCER: Sociology. DR. MIEDEMA: I can tell you in health sciences 2 we have one in coded biller so we would have someone 3 else in the one of the health sciences programs to be 4 part of that team. Because they're one man teams. 5 So, we take it from that discipline, the health 6 sciences discipline, and we make a committee from 7 there. 8 MR. ZACKS: I mean, I just think of myself in 9 10 the grand picture, one man shows and I'm sure there's got to be some others throughout the college, it's 11 like I decided I want to do something. Okay. 12 DR. MIEDEMA: So, that's why I said we need to 13 have something with related fields because there's no 14 possible way that you could be a team of one person. 15 DR. MARSHALL: So, we're okay there? 16 DR. MIEDEMA: Um-hmm. 17 18 MS. HANFIELD: Can you go back up to the top of that page? 19 DR. MARSHALL: What line number? 20 MS. HANFIELD: 687 I think it was. 21 DR. MIEDEMA: What Sandy is asking is second 22

sentence there that says the screening committee

shall consist of at least three faculty members and

all be from the campus where the opening exists, what

23

24

- if it's librarians where there's only two on campus. 1 MS. SPENCER: I see. That is the exception 2 because I was wondering why wouldn't we have enough 3 faculty. We do related disciplines. 4 DR. MIEDEMA: If we -- yeah. 5 MS. SPENCER: For librarians. So, we would 6 do --7 MS. HANFIELD: I mean, you address it in like 8 the new hires where you say that the committee shall 9 10 be made up of librarians but you don't address that in transfers for a librarian position. 11 MS. SPENCER: Should we make an exception for 12 that? Can you think of any other disciplines? 13 MS. HANFIELD: Because we're doing that right 14 now in Melbourne. 15 MS. SPENCER: That's probably the only 16 exception though. What would you consider a related 17 discipline for the librarians on this campus? 18
- 20 MS. ANDERSON: I think the preference -- are
  21 you asking, can I speak?
  22 MS. SPENCER: Yes.

MS. HANFIELD: Debbie?

19

MS. ANDERSON: Okay. I think the preference
would be that it would be that the librarians would
be on the selection committee from the different

- 1 campuses. 2 MS. SPENCER: Okay. MS. ANDERSON: Not from -- not from disciplines 3 that are not on that campus. 4 5 MS. SPENCER: Do you like that idea? DR. MIEDEMA: Yes, I agree. 6 MS. SPENCER: Shall we put a sentence in there 7 that makes an exception for that? 8 9 DR. MIEDEMA: Yes. 10 DR. MARSHALL: Good. Give me an idea. MS. HANFIELD: Maybe you can have some of the 11 same wording like you do for the new hires when you 12 talk about librarians on the committee. 13 MS. SPENCER: Copy and paste from there. 14 MS. HANFIELD: Like up on 633 area talking 15 about. 16 17 DR. MIEDEMA: For a library faculty position, the administration will select faculty members to 18 serve on the screening committee from the library 19 faculty. 20 DR. MARSHALL: Can you tell me what is the line 21 number? 22
- MS. HANFIELD: I think it changed since you deleted that.

DR. MIEDEMA: 633.

- DR. MARSHALL: That's okay, I'll just cut and 1 paste. Is it this one here? 2 DR. MIEDEMA: Yes, that's the sentence. 3 MS. SPENCER: Good. 4 5 DR. MIEDEMA: That addresses it. And the problem is we don't see those things until we run 6 7 smack dab into them but we run into them let's fix them. 8 9 DR. MARSHALL: Okay? 10 DR. MIEDEMA: That's it. 11 DR. MARSHALL: All right. DR. MIEDEMA: And do you want these to be 12 tenured faculty? 13 MS. HANFIELD: On A, top page. 14 15 DR. MARSHALL: Here? DR. MIEDEMA: Um-hmm. 16 17 DR. MARSHALL: Tenured? MS. SPENCER: I think the tenured process is so 18 long now that I don't have a problem leaving it as 19 faculty member. 20 DR. MIEDEMA: We just want to make sure that 21 we're not --22
- MS. SPENCER: We could say tenured or tenured track. I doubt we're going to put a temporary faculty member on a committee like that.

1	MS. FURGUESON: Well, that doesn't exclude
2	them.
3	DR. MIEDEMA: I think that would be a rarity
4	that we would want to put someone in there that we
5	don't have that commitment to.
6	MS. SPENCER: Yeah, I think that's good.
7	DR. MIEDEMA: We just want to make sure those
8	are things that we want to clarify so that we're all
9	doing it the same way.
10	MS. SPENCER: Oh, can we go back up for
11	transfer points. I saw this earlier. The same for
12	rank masters plus thirty. We understand that equates
13	with a terminal degree, THE MFA degrees that we have
14	recognize for rank and I'm asking do we recognize
15	that Masters plus thirty means also terminal degrees
16	short of a doctorate so they're MFAs.
17	DR. MIEDEMA: Would they be a Masters plus
18	thirty or a Masters plus sixty?
19	MS. SPENCER: Yeah, that's how we've handled
20	them. We've handled them as a Masters plus thirty.
21	DR. MIEDEMA: Let's put in a parenthesis
22	underneath there terminal degrees.
23	MS. SPENCER: Yeah. Okay. Thank you.
24	DR. MIEDEMA: That would fit.

DR. MARSHALL: Where, right here or?

- 1 MS. SPENCER: Yes, next to Masters plus thirty.
- DR. MIEDEMA: I think that will help to clarify
- 3 that they -- we've never had to do this but if we do
- 4 we want to be consistent in there.
- 5 The other question I have in there, if you go
- 6 up to the line 270 -- 727 when it talks about
- 7 transfer points, it says holding a continuing
- 8 contract and each year of academic is one point up to
- 9 a ten, is this full time faculty, or if they worked
- 10 as an adjunct for five years do they get points for
- 11 that?
- MS. SPENCER: But adjunct are not full time.
- DR. MIEDEMA: They're not covered by this but
- when they become full time faculty, is it only their
- 15 full time faculty years?
- 16 MS. SPENCER: Yes, because it's only the full
- 17 time.
- DR. MIEDEMA: I just want to make sure, one
- 19 year of full time employment not -- so, if someone
- 20 comes in midway through the year, we don't count that
- 21 as their first year, we wait until the next year when
- it's a full year.
- MS. SPENCER: That's right.
- DR. MIEDEMA: That's how we do it when we
- 25 determine whether they're eligible for tenure. So,

that would be my same -- I just -- if we ever do this

it's not going to be a fun process so I'd like it as

clean as possible.

MS. SPENCER: All right. So, the handbook, you said it was sufficient -- you added one line to this under there, the college may -- because we -- on line 765 where it said handbooks shall be approved, initially when I sent it to you it said by UFF Brevard. We would like you to have the option to review them and have a say in that and then -- so, we added number three, the college may waive their right to make changes to the handbook.

DR. MARSHALL: So, this language has been added on this line.

MS. SPENCER: Yeah, I just would like it to be understood that it's a process of mutual discussion. It's a minor point.

DR. MIEDEMA: I don't have a problem with that, I still don't see the handbook being an extension of the agreement. That's where I have -- that's where I have a concern. Because we have any number of handbooks out there. The assessment committee just gave me a handbook to review. Everyone sends me a handbook to review, are they all attached in here and if not which ones are attached and that just means I

have five -- and I now have five documents that I need to review to make sure we're in compliance with the contract, which I think is difficult when what we've asked for from an administrative position is just to know what the goals are and who the voting members are and then you can run your business. If you want review, if you want support, then we're here to do that. If there's anything that you feel you're at risk for, I'd like to see that language in here rather than in the whole contract.

MR. PARKER: Lynn, one of the things that got us in trouble over at the sheriff's office too is that we would use handbooks as well regarding policies and procedures, they get more technical, but membership got aggravated with that because they ratify a contract. They vote on a contract. have full power to vote on that contract. They do not ratify handbooks. And so they believed it was really misappropriating their authority, giving their authority ratification to another group. They didn't like it. That kind of spilled over. It was a side effect. We didn't mean for that to happen, it was unintentional, we were just trying to make things work like you are, but in the end the membership got upset. Just something to think about.

DR. MIEDEMA: I know that Michael Moats has mentioned this that he thought that there was a risk if we didn't do this. I just don't understand what that risk is. If there's something there that you feel that you are out on the limb for, I would like to reinforce that limb so that you don't feel that you're going to fall off. I just don't understand the whole concept about handbook.

MS. SPENCER: The point of having the handbooks included by the contract but -- included in the contract by extension and allow it some flexibility through those councils to meet the needs to grow as the council's goals changed or as new things needed to be addressed. We can put all of that stuff in the contract but that makes the contract really long. So, by allowing these to be included in the contract by extension, then all that business about the tenure timeline, about rank, about promotion, about procedures for curriculum submissions, instead of that being in the contract it's referenced, you know, it's included in the contract by reference in these handbooks.

MR. PARKER: I think as long as it's not contrary to contractual language, I don't think we have an issue there. As long as the handbook is

created and supported, as long as it doesn't -- it
isn't in contrast with what's in the contract, that's
where you get in trouble.

MS. SPENCER: Right.

MR. PARKER: And the people have an obligation that are writing those handbooks to make sure they abide by the contract, that's the other thing.

DR. MIEDEMA: I would rather see a statement in there that says if there's a conflict, the contract supercedes the handbook.

MR. PARKER: But we know there's a lot of details that exist out there that are agreed upon by mutual consensus, but -- and that's the reason for all the handbooks, but as long as they're not in conflict I think we're okay. I just know when you give the full authority of a handbook to giving it the equal authority as your collective bargaining contract that's ratified by the membership, you're really kind of extending that that's an awesome authority and right to vote on a collective bargaining agreement.

MS. SPENCER: But you understand, though, if we don't put it in -- if we don't say the handbooks are included in the contract by extension, then we need to go back in the contract and put all that stuff

about tenure, about rank and promotion, timelines, all of that stuff should be in the contract because those are essential elements of wages, hours, working conditions for the faculty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PARKER: I quess the short answer is if there's something essential and it's very important, it has to be negotiated because you don't believe that it can come to consensus, I think those items probably do rise to the importance of putting in the contract, but many times items of importance are just -- they're reasonable, they make sense to both parties because everybody just wants the same thing, we want something that makes sense. So, 95% of probably what's in that handbook it's stuff that's really not going to be an issue, everybody agreed it's the right thing to do and it's not in conflict with the general language we agreed upon, it's not going to cost a ton of money that's not existing and so we've progressed. But, yeah, if there's a couple of things, you know, some things that just are so important that that's probably the way to do it, is make sure your important items are in your bargaining contract and then use your handbooks for all the maintenance items.

MS. SPENCER: So, you prefer to put that stuff

- back in the contract then, the tenure timeline, the 1 rank, the --2 DR. MIEDEMA: Why do we need a full tenure 3 timeline in the contract? 4 MS. SPENCER: All those documents, the 5 handbooks, the procedures for reviewing those 6 documents, you want all that in the contract? 7 MR. PARKER: I don't know, it depends on the 8 health of the organization. If it makes sense that 9 10 you guys are -- because you want to have flexibility. See, that's the whole point about a handbook. 11 MS. SPENCER: Exactly, we do want to have 12 13 flexibility. MR. PARKER: You want the flexibility of being 14 able to work together to make changes, to make things 15 streamlined and faster and better for the membership, 16 that's the whole reason for them. Right? If you bog 17 18 down very technical language in the contract, then you're held to it, you're held it for three years. 19 So, it doesn't necessarily serve a purpose to tuck it 20 all in a collective bargaining contract, what you 21 want in the bargaining contract is the spine. You 22 23 want the framework and then you want the details
- 25 MS. SPENCER: Which is why we just say the

ironed out in the handbooks.

1	handbooks are by by reference are an extension of
2	the contract and any kind of changes will be reviewed
3	and vetted by the party for the contract.
4	MR. PARKER: I don't feel comfortable saying
5	that as a member of the team because I don't think I
6	have the authority to do that. I don't think I have
7	the authority to pass on to an alternate document
8	that's not voted on by the membership as a feigned
9	power.
LO	MS. SPENCER: You're part of the bargaining
11	you're the bargaining agent for the college, the
12	Board of Trustees. So, that's what we're talking
L3	about. We just want to make sure there's protection
L4	in there so there are not wholesale changes made to
L5	the handbooks or things that harm the membership or
L6	are contrary to the contract and just a plain
L7	statement like that.
L8	MR. PARKER: We're taking away, though, from
L9	the membership. They don't have the
20	MS. SPENCER: I'm not sure what the objection
21	is. I mean, on one hand you're saying

MR. PARKER: If you are not --

MR. PARKER: If you and I --

in there and on the other hand you're saying --

MS. SPENCER: -- we don't need all that stuff

22

23

24

1 THE COURT REPORTER: Wait, wait, wait.

MR. PARKER: Sorry. If you and I agree to something that the membership doesn't like, if it's in a handbook fashion they can basically say that's against the contract and they can grieve it. If we say we agree away from this room in a handbook as the full authority as the collective bargaining contract, they don't have a leg to stand on. They can't grieve it.

MS. SPENCER: But those handbooks are produced by the faculty councils. That's what that language is underneath there. So, we're talking about the Academic Affairs Council and the Tenured Professional Development Council.

MR. PARKER: Right.

MS. SPENCER: So, we just want to make sure that those are not contrary to anything in the contract and that it's understood that what they do is binding and it protects the faculty in some fashion. It's a simple statement, it's not any -- and like you said, you have five handbooks on your desk, you can waive the right to review those if you feel that they're --

DR. MIEDEMA: I'm still held accountable for them.

1 MS. SPENCER: You --

DR. MIEDEMA: If they're in the contract I'm still held accountable for the so I have to -- I can't waive my right to review them. I have to know every sentence in every one of those handbooks --

MS. SPENCER: Right.

DR. MIEDEMA: -- if I'm going to make sure that we are staying within the guidelines of the contract.

MS. SPENCER: And we you should be.

DR. MIEDEMA: And for me to have to do that with every change that comes through in the handbook, I would rather say these are the things that I need, these are the things that you need because you feel you need them for protection, let's put that in and let the other details stand on their own. Just like other places within the contract, I said rather than say this date, this date, this date and this date, we start here and it has to be done by here, let me figure out when I'm going to put these things up on the Web to advertise or do whatever the steps may be. Do we have to have every one of those details spelled out because it's just another point of failure.

MS. SPENCER: I think it's a simple statement, it just says we won't make -- and the other language said that there would be no substantial changes. The

1	original language that you didn't like if you scroll
2	down there, that was actually simpler. If we just
3	picked up with procedures for the AAC and TPD
4	handbooks, their respective subcommittees by
5	reference or incorporating this agreement and shall
6	be changed only by mutual agreement of the college
7	and the UFF Brevard. You can put in there
8	substantial changes substantial changes apart from
9	editorial changes or scribner's errors. I mean,
10	that's pretty simple.
11	DR. MARSHALL: Remember, also, that we do have

DR. MARSHALL: Remember, also, that we do have revisions like goals now, so.

MS. SPENCER: That's true.

DR. MARSHALL: I think that will cut down a little bit on the constant checking and maintenance of the documents because they're pretty solid now. So, aside from those minor scribner's errors and date changes, things like that, I don't know what else substantive there will be to change.

MS. SPENCER: Yeah.

DR. MARSHALL: If we were to look at what we would want to include content wise, I mean, is that something that we could do and see how many pages that would add to the contract?

MS. SPENCER: If you want to do that. I mean,

1	if that's really what you want to do. I just think
2	it's simpler to say we're working these handbooks,
3	wear doing this mutually. And you're right, they're
4	not the wholesale changes and the big changes that we
5	had when we first established these councils. We're
6	not going to see those kind of changes come through
7	except as state board rules change, which they do.

DR. MIEDEMA: As the administrative representative, I really don't care how you select your committee. I don't care if you have a chair and a vice chair and those are the things that are in the handbook. I really don't care, that's your business to run. So, why do I need that information as part of the contract when I don't really care how you decide to run your business as long as you're meeting the contractual requirements.

MS. SPENCER: Why would it be okay to make changes without having to pass those changes by you? You don't care?

DR. MIEDEMA: I'm fine with that, it's your business to run.

MS. SPENCER: Well, then when it comes across your desk just pass it along.

DR. MIEDEMA: But if I have that statement in there that is attached, I have to know all the

1 content of that.

25

MS. SPENCER: So, your issue is -- we're not 2 talking about election of vice chairs and chairs, 3 we're talking about procedures that affect the 4 working conditions of faculty, their ability to 5 create new programs, write curriculum, their tenure 6 rights, their ability to earn rank changes, to earn 7 continuing contract credits. I mean, these are 8 fundamental to the working conditions of the faculty. 9 10 If there are going to be changes to those handbooks, they should be reviewed carefully by both parties 11 because they are an extension of the contract. 12 we're going to talk about that stuff, if we're going 13 to have these councils and they mean anything and 14 they have a real impact on the way the college is 15 run, then we should be looking carefully at them. 16 is a lot of work and I'm not, I'm not unsympathetic 17 18 to what you're saying that there's a lot of things to look at but Debra's point is that there is a review 19 cycle in place and changes are not made capriciously. 20 I think it's been a while since the AA senate --21 DR. MIEDEMA: We'll talk about it again when we 22 23 bread.

MS. SPENCER: -- has reviewed those handbooks.

DR. MIEDEMA: We'll about it again when we

- 1 break.
- DR. MARSHALL: Move forward? Where do you want
- 3 to go next?
- 4 MS. SPENCER: We're okay with that one.
- DR. MARSHALL: What's the next article.
- 6 MS. SPENCER: 8.
- 7 DR. MIEDEMA: There's one other thing that we
- 8 wanted to mention real quickly and that is under line
- 9 804 and this is probably just standard language that
- 10 has been in the contract for twenty years, but it
- says a retired faculty member in good standing.
- 12 You're not a retired faculty member unless you are in
- good standing. So, that's kind of a redundancy. If
- 14 you're not in good standing it means you got fired.
- So, is that -- if you're retired you are in good
- 16 standing. I never understood that one.
- 17 MS. SPENCER: Yeah.
- DR. MIEDEMA: So, we're not going to retire you
- in bad standing. It just -- it just --
- 20 DR. MARSHALL: So, do you want to strike that?
- 21 MS. SPENCER: Okay. Yeah, you can strike it.
- 22 DR. MIEDEMA: Just strike in good standing.
- MS. SPENCER: No problem.
- 24 DR. MIEDEMA: If you're retired, you're in good
- 25 standing. I'm done with that one.

1	MS. SPENCER: Do you want to take a brief
2	caucus?
3	DR. MIEDEMA: Um-hmm.
4	MS. SPENCER: We're okay with 7 because the
5	next one we're looking at is 8 and that's going to
6	be.
7	DR. MIEDEMA: Yeah, 7 we were ready. In fact,
8	that was the one I was going to do a TA on.
9	DR. MARSHALL: Okay.
LO	DR. MIEDEMA: All right. We can take a caucus.
11	DR. MARSHALL: Ten minutes?
L2	MS. SPENCER: We're the smaller group, do want
L3	us to move?
L4	DR. MIEDEMA: No, you guys are all here.
L5	(Thereupon, a recess was taken in the meeting.)
L6	DR. MARSHALL: All right. When we left we
L7	decided that we would table the handbook discussion
L8	and still review that.
L9	Just moving on in Article 8, this is the next
20	area that we came to that we had one comment still.
21	MS. SPENCER: One moment though. On the
22	handbook, I know we're to table that. In
23	considering, if you think about it until the next
24	time we meet, we could include both handbooks, the
) 5	AAC and the TDD handbook as appendives to the

contract. The draw back is, of course, you can't make any further changes without ratifying the whole document again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Debbie Anderson is in the restroom and she said something at the break that was worth thinking about and I would like her to say it when she comes back, so. I think it protects both parties. The changes that they're looking at aligning language, for example, on rank, tenure, MCC, that allows them some flexibility to do that work and still have the protection of the contract and both parties be able to look at those things and acknowledge that we agree on it. And what she said was in terms of issues like rank, promotion, tenure, the ultimate decision rests with the president and you don't want, you don't want to turn that over to the faculty entirely and we don't want that to be the process either. So, allowing the language that says we'll include those handbooks by reference allows us both to have some protection to look at those things because those processes are important but -- and it allows them some flexibility to develop those things. It's not to load you up on work or anyone else up on work, and we can limit those things, but it allows the protection. I tried to say what you said so

- 1 eloquently, Debbie, about the rank, tenure,
- 2 promotion, the president is the ultimate authority on
- 3 that.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ANDERSON: Right, we only make the
recommendation and then he makes the decision. And
without the content, the perimeters of the work that
we do being embedded by the college, I think I could
see that causing some issues at some point in time,
so.

DR. MIEDEMA: We have language in the contract specific to tenure and rank and those types of things already. That's where I would see putting in those pieces that you want to make sure give you the protection that you need and leave in your handbook those things that you want the option to be more flexible there. That's where I was trying to get to when I said I don't care how you find your vice president or your co-chair, I want to know how you do your outcome. Those pieces are very appropriately put into the contract or an appendix or any other way you do. The whole handbook is all the nuts and bolts of how you're going to do your process. That is not necessarily the thing to put in the contract, those guidelines. And that's why in my original notation to you when we first looked at it I said check with

Debbie to make sure this aligns, check with Debbie to
make sure this aligns because that's what we want,
those language -- those articles that we have in
there addressing those need to align with the
handbook and that was the time to make sure we have
that alignment. Beyond that do we need the other
parts attached to the contract, I don't believe so.

And what Jack is trying to say is we -- you all vote on approving the contract and the appendixes. Thirty or less of you vote to approve the handbook but you're speaking for the whole faculty and by attaching it to the contract you're giving that handbook that's been approved by thirty people the same weight as this. We need to take those things that you need that weight for put into the contract for the general population to vote and the rest of it which is operational needs to be done by the committee or the council. That's the point. And I don't know a better way to explain that.

MR. PARKER: And for clarification too, it's kind of sort of innuendo that it's because of the work to be done. Let me tell you, nobody on this side of the table is shy, especially Dr. Miedema, of a doing the work that needs to be done. So, it's not a concern about that, it's -- my particular concern

is exactly that, generally when you approve a 1 contract, you approve it as it's written. You ratify 2 it as it's written. That's why it's meaningful. You 3 have sometimes appendix or appendixes that are 4 attached to the contract which are static in nature, 5 they don't change, they're not a living document that 6 can change. Once you approve something that's a 7 living document that can be approved by other people 8 that is not ratified, you're putting yourself in the 9 10 position of someone saying, hey, I have an unfair labor practice. If I'm a member that votes on a 11 collective bargaining agreement and it's in writing 12 and all of a sudden what was important to me changes 13 because it changes in a handbook because people 14 decided to change it, I don't agree with that, I 15 voted and I ratified a contract that was solid black 16 and white and you changed the context of it without 17 18 me and my fellow members voting to ratify it and I would claim that's an unfair labor practice by my 19 union representation. 20

So, that's why typically the contracts are -they are what they are from A to Z. You try to make
sure everything that's important is in the contract
and, yes, you have living documents to try to make
the contract and anything we do liveable, but you

21

22

23

24

- don't want to necessarily extend the power and authority of a ratifying contract to a living document that other people don't vote on. It undermines their authority as a member.
- MS. SPENCER: Which is exactly why you would have that statement in the contract that says these handbooks are by extension included, and by reference included in the contract. Then when you vote on that contract and ratify it you understand that that's what you're doing.
  - MR. PARKER: Yes, if you leave the handbooks untouched for three years.

- MS. SPENCER: No, if you have that language in there that says both parties will review it and no changes --
- MR. PARKER: To me that's not good enough.
- MS. SPENCER: That's what we're proposing there.
- MR. PARKER: To me though you're going to

  change -- you could change something that we didn't

  vote on as a membership. To me that -- you just -- I

  think you'll probably, if you ask Michael to look

  into it, you'll probably won't have to look far

  before you find other negotiation groups that have

  done that and unfair labor practice has resulted.

That might not necessarily be the case because,

again, we have a great team here, and I know what

you're trying to do, we're just trying to work

smoothly together quickly, but we do have to respect

the process and the ratifying of a contract is a very

important thing.

7 MS. SPENCER: Okay. So, we'll table this for 8 now.

So, do you want to address these? You said in a comment that you were okay with the five hours leaving the language as is? We talked about this last week.

DR. MIEDEMA: Well, what my question was, and let me get to that page, my comment was if we look at the overload and make sure that faculty understands that five hours out of their ten are expected to be here and not 50%. The question was if I'm on release time as department chair, I don't -- I teach one less class which means several hours less of office time and now at 50% instead of having to be on campus for five hours I only have to be on campus for two and a half hours because I have reduced my teaching load. If we put this in the language that says that five hours of your ten hours, it just clarifies that there still is an expectation that five hours are going to

1 be done on campus.

11

12

13

14

- MS. SPENCER: Yeah. So, you want to leave the language as it is then? Because that's already in there.
- DR. MIEDEMA: May schedule. I would -- I would say that they need to schedule five hours on campus rather than saying five hours off campus.
- 8 MS. SPENCER: The contract --
- 9 DR. MIEDEMA: Do you understand why I'm trying to say that?
  - MS. SPENCER: Okay. So, what we're talking about is faculty work week and their office hours and what you're trying to do -- what you want to do is make sure that faculty are here for five hours.

DR. MIEDEMA: I just want to make sure that --15 that's why I was saying 50% originally because if you 16 17 only -- because of your scheduling only need to do eight hours of office time, then four of those are on 18 campus and four of them are off campus. If you have 19 to do ten, it's five and five. Rather than saying 20 that five hours, what if I have reduced my load, I 21 have been given -- for some reason I'm on a special 22 23 project and I have 50% release time so now I don't 24 have to do any time on campus for my students for this 50% of the classes that I'm teaching. Because 25

- five hours can be scheduled elsewhere and that's all
  I have to do is five hours a week.

  MS. SPENCER: You have to schedule your office
- hours in thirty minute increments across four days,
  four consecutive days.

- DR. MIEDEMA: That's just the question is if everyone is teaching full load, then saying it this way fits. If they're not, then are we really saying that they need to be here half the time? Are we really saying that they don't have to be here expect for whatever they're supposed to do minus five hours? Just a point of clarification.
  - DR. MARSHALL: So, what you're suggesting is that we change the to say all faculty members will schedule five hours of office time on campus.
  - DR. MIEDEMA: Or say 50%. That's why I said the 50% in the first place was that it's the same thing.
  - MS. SPENCER: No, it's not the same thing if you're teaching in overload. If you're teaching sixty points overload, then that obligates you then for not just five hours on campus, it obligates you for six hours, right? We're up to twelve.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Put in here 50% up to load.

  Overload is then done this way.

MS. SPENCER: I just don't understand what --1 2 can you --DR. MARSHALL: Yes, that's something that I 3 could we can do before we come back to our next 4 session. 5 DR. MIEDEMA: I just want to make sure that 6 that's clear and it's equitable for our students. 7 The point is student access and to make sure there's 8 9 availability, and 99% percent of faculty it's not 10 going to be an issue for. And I recognize that. As Jack mentioned last time that we met, we have to 11 write the contract with the lowest common denominator 12 sometimes. 13 MR. PARKER: Hopefully I said it nicer than 14 that. 15 DR. MIEDEMA: And that's why I said the 1%. 16 17 DR. MARSHALL: We can work on that later and we 18 can send recommended language back. DR. MIEDEMA: So, that was the question. 19 DR. MARSHALL: And that's also related to this 20 21 one, is that right? DR. MIEDEMA: That's all of that. 22 23 DR. MARSHALL: Is this related to the same or

DR. MIEDEMA: This in J behind 950, this was a

24

25

is this?

response to the discussion on PSAV faculty and I'm
having sixty minute hours versus the fifty minute
hours and my suggestion here was that because they
are in a very interactive environment by necessity in
the PSAV, that we could schedule that up to a certain
percentage of their office hours are counted into the
teaching hours. So, they're aren't even obligated
for five hours office time beyond that which gives
them more of an equivalency with everybody else.
Because that's very true, that is what they're doing.

They're working with someone welding, they're right there with them. They have any questions they're having those conversations. So, why not account for some of that giving them credit, for lack of a better word, for some of their office time right then because that's what they're doing. In all of our PSAV programs because they're hands on. That was just a suggestion.

MS. SPENCER: We have to take that up when we look at the load point calculation. We could discuss that again.

DR. MARSHALL: Okay. I didn't note whether or not we had agreed on this change but I think this is language that we just added in.

DR. MIEDEMA: Yes, and that's perfectly fine.

- 1 I saw that in there.
- DR. MARSHALL: Okay.
- 3 DR. MIEDEMA: It's nice when you highlight it
- 4 because I can make sure I don't miss them.
- DR. MARSHALL: And we would be okay with
- 6 deleting this because the counselors are broken out,
- 7 right?
- DR. MIEDEMA: Um-hmm.
- 9 MS. SPENCER: Yes.
- 10 DR. MIEDEMA: Some of these are just really
- 11 little minor cleaning up the language but it means
- 12 less next time around.
- DR. MARSHALL: No, it doesn't. I don't think
- there's anything else here.
- This I just needed a clarification on exactly
- what the statute is. I do believe it's now 100.7271.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Let me get to that.
- DR. MARSHALL: And that's the full statute I
- 19 believe.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Um-hmm, that is the statute.
- 21 DR. MARSHALL: So, that's the number we want to
- 22 go with?
- DR. MIEDEMA: Yes.
- 24 DR. MARSHALL: And I have this also up here,
- overload assignment.

1	DR. MIEDEMA: The idea there was if we
2	horseshoe or request from you to teach off of
3	campuses, we are paying you for travel. If you elect
4	because you want to do an overload on another campus,
5	that is an election, it's not a position that you had
6	to do in order to make load and in that case we would
7	not pay travel.
8	MS. SPENCER: Do you pay travel?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

DR. MIEDEMA: If you're on two campuses in one day, you just fill out a travel form. And we do have some faculty that historically have been split between two campuses and if they taught on both campuses in the same day including an evening class, they did get paid milage.

DR. MARSHALL: You're saying if faculty elects to do that the college will not reimburse.

DR. MIEDEMA: If it's done as the overload because it's their election at that point in time, it's not something we had to do or they had to do in order to make their load assignment.

DR. MARSHALL: Okay. And I'll clean that up 21 for the next time. 22

23 DR. MIEDEMA: Perfect.

> DR. MARSHALL: And then we have as allowed by applicable administrative code.

It is perfect. 1 DR. MIEDEMA: DR. MARSHALL: Lynn? 2 MS. SPENCER: Yeah, that's fine. 3 DR. MARSHALL: And then down to E-Learning line 4 1229. 5 DR. MIEDEMA: Right. In 1229, E-Learning 6 section, may be considered as part of the faculty 7 member's regular load with approval because the 8 supervising administrator has the managerial rights 9 10 to say I need this many classes on campus. MS. SPENCER: No, we don't want to do that. 11 It's been in there for a long time, if you consider 12 it part of the faculty member's load, if a faculty 13 member has courses scheduled and they don't make and 14 then they end up being reassigned to classes that 15 just tear their lives apart and make sure that --16 17 just to make sure that they have a load on campus, 18 that's really disruptive. DR. MIEDEMA: I don't understand what you 19 20 comment. Why would you need the approval 21 MS. SPENCER: of the supervising administrator. E-Learning 22 23 sections may be considered a part of a faculty 24 member's load, why wouldn't they be able to be

considered a part of faculty member's load?

- DR. MIEDEMA: If they're needed on campus they should be teaching on campus also.

  MS. SPENCER: Well, why wouldn't you know that ahead of time? I mean, this is like so if you -- I mean, you're assigning the classes, they're signing
- mean, you're assigning the classes, they're signing

  up for overload, why wouldn't it be would -- why

  wouldn't faculty members be eligible to have that be

  part of their load?
- 9 DR. MIEDEMA: If it's part of their assignment,
  10 yes. We're not saying we're going to take them out
  11 of an E-Learning and say they now have to teach on
  12 campus.
- MS. SPENCER: Right.

20

21

- DR. MIEDEMA: What we're saying is if their
  campus has needs when making a schedule, that they
  meet those needs and the E-Learning is traditionally
  been the overload if their time is needed on the
  campus.
  - MS. SPENCER: So, how do you envision the schedule -- the schedule's made now, like, it works through the department, through the provosts, right?
    - DR. MIEDEMA: Um-hmm.
- MS. SPENCER: And then it comes back, the
  faculty, often times the schedule just rolls over.

  So, why is it in necessary to have that with the

- approval of supervising administrator in there? I don't understand that.
- 3 DR. MIEDEMA: What if you decide next semester 4 that you want to teach all of your classes online.
- 5 MS. SPENCER: And who's going to offer me all of those classes online?
- 7 DR. MIEDEMA: I'm just saying. And then Sandy 8 doesn't have anyone to teach the sections here, she 9 has to go out to find an adjunct.

11

12

13

- MS. SPENCER: I don't -- I want to think about that. I don't why you would have to have the approval of the supervising administrator when the schedule has been functioning just fine.
- DR. MIEDEMA: This does not say that --
- MS. SPENCER: All that says is an E-Learning 15 section may be considered as part of the faculty 16 member's regular load. I think you open up a whole 17 18 can of worms when you add that with the approval of the supervising administrator. I mean, you already 19 have the right of assignment to schedule classes but 20 what that allows you to do is to buttonhole 21 individual faculty members and say that they will or 22 23 will not be allowed to do that. What you do as an 24 administrator is you make a schedule that meets the needs of the students and then you coordinate with 25

1	the faculty to make sure those classes are met and
2	all the needs of the students are met. And if part
3	of that is if part of the full time faculty
4	member's load in that situation is online learning, I
5	don't think you need language in there that says it
б	has to be by the approval of the supervising
7	administrator.

You see what I'm saying. Like, you schedule the classes and then you fill them with the personnel that you have, right? Isn't that right?

DR. MIEDEMA: Yes.

MS. SPENCER: Yeah. So, why do you -- I don't understand why you need that language in there.

DR. MIEDEMA: I don't understand why there's an opposition to it.

MS. SPENCER: I just said because that particular thing allows you to buttonhole individual faculty members who may be already teaching online as part of their load. Not on this campus but there are other campuses where there have been arrangements that are part time that's online, part time it's face-to-face and that allows some arbitrary -- in some cases it could be an arbitrary power and you could have somebody that would go in there because they didn't like the faculty member being too much

online and not present on campus. I has a potential for abuse in some areas.

DR. MIEDEMA: I am taken aback by your comment because I don't understand why there would be an abuse situation. I really truly don't. All we're trying to say here is that there is a looking at the needs of the students. Because last year you taught three classes online does not mean that this year you're going to teach three classes online if the need is for this.

MS. SPENCER: Okay.

DR. MIEDEMA: It's just creating a schedule and finding that balance and if we've met the campus needs and there's availability to teach online, absolutely teach online. And if you haven't met your load on campus and you want to teach online, go right ahead, there's no problem with that. The problem is the first priority needs to be make sure that we're meeting the student need.

MS. SPENCER: So, the first priority then is the face-to-face rigor mortar campuses?

DR. MIEDEMA: If you are assigned to a campus and they need you on that campus, then I guess, yes.

MS. SPENCER: Is E-Learning a separate campus, is that how we understand that? Like they're an

- 1 entirely separate campus or division?
- DR. MIEDEMA: No, they're not an entirely
- different campus, they are part -- they are a
- 4 teaching modality within our campus. Each of our
- 5 campuses.
- 6 MS. SPENCER: So, why wouldn't you allow your
- 7 personnel to have that flexibility to schedule
- 8 classes online as well as face-to-face? You're
- 9 meeting the needs of the students if you have the
- 10 classes and they're scheduled and they're filling.
- 11 What priority -- I'm not understanding what priority
- there is for the face-to-face classes over the online
- 13 classes. That's what I don't understand.
- DR. MIEDEMA: All right. We'll take that under
- advisement, but I really think that we are fighting
- 16 over a very, very narrow definition that is not the
- 17 purpose of this statement at all.
- MS. SPENCER: Okay. Maybe to shed a little
- 19 light on this, in the larger context to think about
- 20 how we schedule classes, we want to meet the needs of
- the students.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Exactly.
- MS. SPENCER: That doesn't mean particular
- 24 faculty members have to teach here or there as long
- as the needs of the students are being met, right?

- DR. MIEDEMA: That is correct.
- MS. SPENCER: Okay. So, we're -- I want to
- 3 understand. So, this is the way we have
- 4 traditionally done the schedule, we address the needs
- of the student and then we find the personnel to fill
- 6 those sections.
- 7 DR. MIEDEMA: That is correct.
- 8 MS. SPENCER: And is there any issue with
- 9 allowing faculty some flexibility in how they build
- their schedule? Those are working conditions, right?
- DR. MIEDEMA: It depends on the needs of the
- 12 students. That's the primary focus here is meeting
- those educational needs of our students. That's what
- 14 we're here for.
- MS. SPENCER: Right. So, do you understand
- 16 what I'm saying? So, you have a schedule, here's our
- 17 schedule of classes and these are classes that all
- have to have instructors and student needs that have
- 19 to be met. What is wrong with having faculty choose
- 20 where they -- having some flexibility in where they
- 21 choose classes they fill? Having tenured faculty
- 22 have priority over adjunct faculty, having tenured
- faculty have priority over non-tenured faculty.
- DR. MIEDEMA: That's not what you've asked for
- 25 here in.

MS. SPENCER: While we're talking about it, I'm saying in terms of the bigger question about work load issues. That's what I'm thinking about this in that context in a larger context of how we schedule and how we develop our schedules and what choices faculty have in those scheduling issues and what do you see. We both agree that this is an issue of meeting the needs of the students, that's the priority, and what harm in there -- harm is there then in allowing faculty some flexibility if we say these courses have to be filled and these needs have to be met and we agree that that's the priority, what harm in there in a faculty member having some of their load online choosing to do that themselves rather than having the approval of their supervisor before they do that.

DR. MIEDEMA: What typically should happen is a discussion with faculty these are the courses that we need to have. Various clusters and various departments and various campuses approach it differently. In some campuses, like the math department in Palm Bay, these are the courses, these are the times, we'll go around and you all choose one. Now we'll go back around and we choose another one. That's how they have opted as a department to

make those assignments. That's fine. That's with

supervisory approval. Did we say you must teach this

night class and this day class and this class over

here, no, we let the faculty get together to take a

look at that but the administrator has the approval,

which they have any way.

My concern in this was that somebody could say I want to teach five of my classes online and I have a need for a face-to-face class. That's why there has to be a communication, there has to be some approval because five classes online may be well and good, but if I can't find someone to teach at 10:00 o'clock in the morning, which is difficult to find an adjunct to teach because you're doing all of yours online, I need to have some flexibility here.

MS. SPENCER: I understand that. If you have classes that you can't find instructors for, that certainly makes a lot of sense.

DR. MIEDEMA: That's why we said with approval. That was the only reason for that was so that we can balance schedule out.

MS. SPENCER: Okay. So we'll talk about that later.

DR. MIEDEMA: We agreed take that one out.

DR. MARSHALL: I think we took care of this,

right? 1 2

7

21

22

23

- DR. MIEDEMA: Yes, we did.
- DR. MARSHALL: By adding it in above. 3
- DR. MIEDEMA: We're fine with that. 4 what you were going to look at or talk about because 5 of the twelve points versus putting the office hours 6 in.
- That only partially addresses the MS. SPENCER: 8 difference in pay that they're looking at. So, we 9 10 were talking about maybe looking at a pay differential, just something added to base instead of 11 fudging the points there from ten to twelve. 12 something equitable, look at a number of hours, 13 number of contacts hours these people are working and 14 come up with a proposal for something out of the base 15 in the contract and that won't affect their overload 16 17 points and so it would give you a more reliable 18 measure of what you're facing in terms of economics to address that pay inequity. Is that something that 19 would be possible where that you have --20
  - It would have to be considered in DR. MIEDEMA: the context of the entire economic package that we're able to offer, which I can't respond to yet because we don't have budget.
- MS. SPENCER: Okay. So, we can't talk about 25

1 that now either.

workload.

7

- DR. MIEDEMA: I really can't -- I can't commit

  to things that are going to cost the college more

  money until I know what the budget is. That's why my

  suggestion was the other because that is worth into

  the general budget but it helps to make it as the
- MS. SPENCER: Right, and that doesn't really 8 address the pay inequity that we're looking at. 9 10 We're just looking at pay inequity, we're not even looking at a compensation package. We're just 11 looking at addressing the situation that these people 12 have been put in because of rule changes and state 13 changes. You acknowledge it's not fair. So, we're 14 trying to find a way to solve the problem. 15
  - All right. What else?
- 17 DR. MARSHALL: Load points.
- DR. MIEDEMA: This was to try to address the comment about being able to spread your points over the whole year versus two semesters.
- DR. MARSHALL: Is it spreading points out over
  let's say three semesters or was it choosing two of
  the three semesters that we had discussed? I can't
  remember.
- 25 DR. LAMB: It was initially choosing which two.

- DR. MARSHALL: Two of the three, so.
- 2 DR. MIEDEMA: Okay.
- 3 DR. MARSHALL: Are there cases where we spread
- it over the whole year, the load points that we're
- 5 required to work?
- DR. MIEDEMA: Yes. We have situations
- 7 typically in nursing where they work two and part way
- 8 into a third semester.
- 9 DR. MARSHALL: Right, I remember that.
- 10 DR. MIEDEMA: And their points are spread over
- 11 those three semesters.
- MS. SPENCER: Can we address that now? Just
- find within the contract language as it is. I'm
- weary of inserting changing that to academic year
- because then you end up with faculty who work three
- 16 semesters instead of two that they work now. So, if
- 17 you have the option to average three hundred points
- over spring, summer and fall, you could have somebody
- 19 teaching thirty points in -- sixty points in the
- 20 fall, sixty points in the spring and then teaching
- 21 again in the summer. There has to be some safeguard
- for faculty that they're not going to be put in the
- position where their nine month contract is extended
- 24 to twelve months without any kind of. I mean, that's
- 25 what they does, that opens that up to changing it

- from nine months to twelve months.
- 2 MR. PARKER: It does say by mutual agreement.
- DR. LAMB: By mutual agreement.
- 4 MR. PARKER: It's not going to happen without
- 5 their say so.
- 6 DR. MARSHALL: I think we could probably fill
- 7 it up somewhat but. I'm not sure exactly what but
- 8 it's here.
- 9 MS. SPENCER: What do you mean?
- 10 DR. MARSHALL: I'm not sure how to restate it
- but I think we could probably state it so it's.
- MS. SPENCER: Is there an urgent need to
- restate it? I mean, it works now. What you have
- 14 works now.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Well, it works now because the
- 16 nursing faculty have not come back to say you can't
- do what you're doing.
- DR. MARSHALL: And we may have cases, Lynn, if
- we do decide to do something like the banking that we
- 20 were considering where faculty will want to work
- 21 three semesters in a year's lengths. So, we might
- 22 need to clean it up so that it's clearer to match,
- but that's something we can work on.
- DR. MIEDEMA: I mean, we can leave it and just
- continue doing what we're doing, it's not a problem.

- MS. SPENCER: We can look at it. 1
- DR. MIEDEMA: I'd like to have practice and 2
- policy match. 3

25

- DR. MARSHALL: The banking is still. 4
- DR. MIEDEMA: Still working on that. 5
- MS. SPENCER: Yeah, and -- go ahead, Robert. 6
- DR. LAMB: Could I ask a question about that? 7
- Earlier, I don't who I'm asking it to, the way it 8
- says now, back up, the person --9
- 10 DR. MARSHALL: Here?
- DR. LAMB: Yes. The person with the decision 11 is the supervising administrator, not the faculty 12 member. So, if a faculty member wants to go to a 13 supervising administrator the way it's written now 14 and request to teach three hundred points over three 15 semesters, the administrator can say no, right? 16
- DR. MIEDEMA: The situation we have had this in 17 18 the past has been those programs where we have front loaded content for students and that's why it was the 19 supervising administrator that made the initial 20 contact. An example being surgical tech. We have X 21 amount of stuff that you need to get into these 22 23 students heads before they can go and practice on 24 real patients. So, the first semester they teach overload but they don't want to get paid overload,

they wanted to be able to work less in the next
semester when the students are at clinicals because
we have adjuncts who are taking them to their
clinicals. So, that's how this started.

The same thing happens in medical assisting.

The first semester is very heavily loaded because they're getting all the foundational stuff. The next semester when they go out and start their clinicals they become instructor of record because they're just going out and checking on the clinical sites.

They're not having to do all the teaching in that semester. So, they want -- they didn't want to get paid overload and then have to pick up other classes other than their supervision in the second semester. So, that's where it became the mutual agreement. But it did start with the supervising administrator saying, hey, how are we going to balance this out. That's where the history of this came from.

DR. MARSHALL: I think that's the same thing you were talking, Lynn.

DR. MIEDEMA: Sandy says we've also done it with individuals who are going to be pregnant.

DR. MARSHALL: Right, I think it's the same thing that you were getting at earlier, the same analogy could be drawn, right? The supervisor

creates the schedule of whatever classes need to be scheduled to meet the needs of the students and the faculty sits down with the supervising administrator to figure out which courses they want to teach and when. So, it's the same kind of situation. So, we might be able to clean that up a little bit and look at it again.

And the banking is, of course, still under consideration.

MS. SPENCER: You have a note eliminate B.

DR. MIEDEMA: Eliminate B. That was from my perspective to take a whole major semester off is pretty substantial and I thought the idea of banking was to try to reduce the load because you end your doctoral or you need to take a sabbatical and you want to offset your sabbatical. When we first started talking about it I did not realize that the thought was we could just take a whole semester off.

The question that comes up with that is if you are doing that, does that impact your other benefits.

And that I don't know.

MS. FURGUESON: There's a couple of things that came to mind when I started researching this. First of all, those that ask for an early payoff. This is going to have to be done well ahead of time, you

1	know, well ahead of time for all that. The rule is
2	as long as you are on payroll, you're getting a
3	check, your benefits stay intact. As long as in
4	the case of where you request a payoff, then we
5	calculate like during the summer months, we
6	calculate those benefits. We're going to have to
7	really look at the specifics on how this is done.
8	We've had banking of and so the process seemed to
9	have worked but there's a lot of

DR. MIEDEMA: We just have to look at the legalities.

MS. FURGUESON: Yeah.

DR. MIEDEMA: So, we're -- and I did not have the opportunity to do an intense review of that, neither did Darla, she was starting to look into what some of those things are and that's why I said we need to take a look at that because it impact other areas, plus it may impact -- taking a major semester off may very definitely impact scheduling. So, we would have to look at when and how we do it.

DR. MARSHALL: Could we stipulate as long as we know X amount in advance?

DR. MIEDEMA: Yeah, that's why we have to take a look at it because if you're going to take the whole semester off and you're the one man teaching in

- that program, it's a little tough to find somebody to fill in for you.
- 3 MS. SPENCER: Right. It has to be by mutual 4 agreement of the administration.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Yes. So, there's going to have some discussion there because there are situations.

  That was the first thing when I read that I went oh, my word, how do we fill some of these programs if we do that.
- MR. ZACKS: I was told I didn't get any time
  off because you're it. They said you don't go
  anywhere.
- MS. FURGUESON: Welcome to my world.
- DR. MIEDEMA: So, we just need -- it's not that
  we can't do any of these things, but we need a little
  more time to really make sure we have the specifics
  together because I would hate to present this and
  then for someone get all excited that they can do
  this and say, oh, man, I can't do it because I don't
  have anyone to replace you.
- MS. SPENCER: Yeah.
- DR. MIEDEMA: At that point in time. That
  would not be a good time to realize that we have a
  problem.
- MS. SPENCER: Very good.

1	DR. MIEDEMA: The more I thought about it
2	because that was my suggestion to change this
3	language to area of concentration to cluster, but
4	then I thought not necessarily can everybody in the
5	cluster teach within the cluster. If you take our
6	technical programs in the cluster, you can't say
7	within their cluster. We can't ask our automotive
8	instructor to go and teach have prior to teach in
9	culinary. They're in the same cluster.
10	DR. LAMB: Greasy food.
11	DR. MIEDEMA: Yeah, little greasy food.
12	DR. LAMB: Well, furthermore, the cluster
13	MS. SPENCER: It has to be credentialed. Maybe
14	we can just say
15	DR. MIEDEMA: Yeah. So, it's just like
16	DR. LAMB: But the cluster organization may
17	change next year. I mean, who knows.
18	DR. MIEDEMA: Absolutely.
19	MS. SPENCER: Why don't we say in which a
20	faculty member's credentialed to teach.
21	DR. MIEDEMA: I think I like that.
22	DR. MARSHALL: In their credentialed
23	discipline.
24	DR. MIEDEMA: In their credentialed
25	disciplines, you can put plural. That would make

- 1 sense.
- MS. SPENCER: And then you don't have to worry
- 3 about --
- DR. MARSHALL: And it doesn't have to be
- 5 regularly, right?
- 6 MS. SPENCER: Yeah.
- 7 DR. MIEDEMA: Yeah.
- DR. MARSHALL: In his own. Say it again.
- 9 MS. SPENCER: Teaching overload in an area in
- 10 which he's credentialed.
- DR. MIEDEMA: In his credentialed disciplines.
- MS. SPENCER: We're going to clean that up too.
- DR. MARSHALL: This way?
- MS. SPENCER: It's better when you say that but
- we get the idea, right?
- DR. LAMB: Um-hmm.
- 17 DR. MARSHALL: And then we can take this out,
- 18 right?
- 19 MS. SPENCER: Just grammar, right, Robert?
- 20 You're reading that sentence too.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Yes.
- DR. LAMB: No, actually I was glazing over.
- MS. SPENCER: Oh, okay.
- 24 DR. MIEDEMA: He was on to the next subject
- already.

- DR. LAMB: Feigning attention.

  DR. MARSHALL: Outside that discipline?
- 3 DR. MIEDEMA: Yes.
- DR. MARSHALL: Okay. Progress.
- DR. MIEDEMA: I don't know if you wanted to 5 include in the statement when you talk about 6 overloads, but as administrators we have added a step 7 in our process of approval which if there are excess, 8 excess of the sixty credits, sixty points, we do have 9 10 a review of all the provosts to make sure that we're applying those rules as equitably as possible. And I 11 don't know if that's important to have a statement to 12 that effect in here, but it is a fact that's what 13 we're doing and that way -- there was a concern a 14 couple of years ago that one provost may be more 15 willing to let people do overloads than another one. 16 So, now anything over sixty hours we review as a 17 18 group. So, I don't -- and that's --
- MS. SPENCER: Anything over sixty hours for the major semesters, right?
- DR. MIEDEMA: Um-hmm.
- 22 MS. SPENCER: One twenty for the summer.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Sixty points. I shouldn't say
  sixty hours, sixty points, yes, in a major semester,
  then we can review that. Anything over the hundred

and twenty in the summer we review as a group. And
if you want that in there so that everyone knows
that's how it's done, we can make sure that's added
in. If it's not, it's just knowledge that's how
we're processing it from our perspective.

MS. SPENCER: The point of procedure, the same thing that you do on our campus, is that done across the college, request for overload, the same form?

DR. MIEDEMA: Yes, everything comes up the same way. And that's why we bring it to our provost meeting and we say, okay, Sally Jones wants to teach five hundred and twenty points this semester and the first question is is she nuts? After we get past that we look at and say, oh, five of those classes are the same class so it's one preparation but, you know, more gravy but at least it's one syllabus that you're following. And we look at that and have their evaluations been good and have they had any complaints from students, okay, approved. So, that way we're having a full review of these things when they come up.

DR. MARSHALL: I think we were all in agreement on this one, I just wanted to make sure before I deleted all the comments. With the three years at the end of the current term language.

- DR. MIEDEMA: Um-hmm.

  DR. LAMB: Three years should be hyphenated.

  DR. MIEDEMA: We need a technical writer. I'm
- 4 only kidding.
- 5 MS. SPENCER: Tony can handle that.
- DR. MARSHALL: Okay. So.
- 7 MS. SPENCER: The two too's shouldn't be split
- 8 but.
- 9 DR. MARSHALL: I'm not exactly sure what's
- going on here. The lottery language was okay?
- MS. SPENCER: Yeah, except for the to should be
- on the other side of they cycle. It's a grammar
- thing, we'll get it later.
- DR. MARSHALL: Here?
- MS. SPENCER: No, no, the to, T-O, see
- 16 option two. Never mind, we'll do it later.
- DR. MARSHALL: The option.
- MS. SPENCER: No, no, the -- never mind.
- DR. MARSHALL: That's how I had it.
- MS. SPENCER: No, no, never mind, the two, the
- 21 whole word gets moved to the other side of that
- 22 modifying clause.
- DR. LAMB: Too and to's have to be right next
- to each other, otherwise it is a split infinitive.
- DR. MARSHALL: Oh, why didn't you say that.

- 1 MS. SPENCER: I don't know.
- DR. LAMB: That's a happy infinitive.
- 3 DR. MARSHALL: And so we're okay with this now?
- 4 MS. SPENCER: Yes. All right. I'm not sure --
- oh, I see.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Oh, it's 4:00 o'clock.
- 7 MS. SPENCER: Yeah.
- DR. MARSHALL: It's almost five after.
- 9 MS. SPENCER: So, we should stop at program
- 10 manager/program coordinator.
- DR. MIEDEMA: Yes.
- MS. SPENCER: We'll put all that stuff in
- there. We were okay with that one like that, so.
- 14 All right. And then -- you okay with stopping here?
- 15 You all right with that?
- DR. MARSHALL: Next meeting?
- DR. MIEDEMA: Two weeks.
- MS. SPENCER: You let us know what time works
- 19 best for you all.
- DR. MARSHALL: Oh, right, because we'll be into
- 21 the summer.
- 22 DR. MIEDEMA: We'll have that information. We
- have to talk about that when we caucus. We'll get
- that to you. We'll talk a couple of minutes while
- 25 you guys go to your other event. Go to your party.

```
1
         For the sake of the court reporter I was going to say
 2
         event.
               (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 4:10
 3
    p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF FLORIDA )
3	( SS: COUNTY OF BREVARD)
4	I, JILL CASEY, Court Reporter and Notary
5	Public, certify that I was authorized to and did
6	stenographically report the UFF Negotiation Meeting and
7	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
8	stenographic notes.
9	DATED this 6th day of May, 2015.
10	
11	
12	JILL CASEY
13	Court Reporter
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	